



**ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 20,  
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,  
TO 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 207**

April 24, 2007 – Offered by Representatives STONE and MONTGOMERY.

- 1           At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
- 2           **1.** Page 32, line 24: after “void.” insert “If the commission determines that a  
3           municipal regulation that was in effect on January 1, 2007, and immediately prior  
4           to the effective date of this subsection .... [revisor inserts date], or that a community  
5           standard, as demonstrated through consistent practice and custom in the  
6           municipality, that was in effect on January 1, 2007, and immediately prior to the  
7           effective date of this subsection .... [revisor inserts date], is substantially the same  
8           as the municipal regulation complained of, there is a rebuttable presumption that  
9           the latter regulation is reasonable. A municipal regulation is unreasonable if it has  
10          the effect of creating a moratorium on the placement of company lines or systems

1 under sub. (1r) or on the entrance into the municipality of a video service provider,  
2 as defined in s. 66.0420 (2) (zg), or is inconsistent with the purposes of s. 66.0420.”

3 (END)